

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
 Brent Caslin (Cal. Bar No. 198682)  
[bcaslin@kirkland.com](mailto:bcaslin@kirkland.com)  
 Nick Saros (Cal. Bar No. 209922)  
[nsaros@kirkland.com](mailto:nsaros@kirkland.com)  
 Emily Terrell (Cal. Bar No. 234353)  
[eterrell@kirkland.com](mailto:eterrell@kirkland.com)  
 777 South Figueroa Street, Floor 37  
 Los Angeles, California 90017  
 Telephone: (213) 680-8400  
 Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
 William A. Streff, Jr. (Ill. Bar No. 2753979)  
[wstreff@kirkland.com](mailto:wstreff@kirkland.com)  
 Paul R. Steadman (*pro hac vice*)  
[psteadman@kirkland.com](mailto:psteadman@kirkland.com)  
 Tomoko Itoga (Cal. Bar No. 207097)  
[titoga@kirkland.com](mailto:titoga@kirkland.com)  
 200 East Randolph Drive  
 Chicago, Illinois 60601-6636  
 Telephone: (312) 861-2000  
 Facsimile: (312) 861-2200

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER  
 & HEDGES, LLP  
 David Eiseman (Cal. Bar No. 114758)  
[davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com](mailto:davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com)  
 Albert P. Bedecarré (Cal. Bar No. 148178)  
[albedecarre@quinnemanuel.com](mailto:albedecarre@quinnemanuel.com)  
 50 California Street, 22nd Floor  
 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants  
 DENSO CORPORATION and TD SCAN  
 (U.S.A.), INC.

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 SILICONIX INCORPORATED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

SILICONIX INCORPORATED, a Delaware  
 corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DENSO CORPORATION, a Japanese  
 corporation, and TD SCAN (U.S.A.), INC., a  
 Michigan corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. C 05-01507 WHA

**JOINT NOTICE AND [PROPOSED]  
 ORDER REGARDING ADR  
 COMPLIANCE BY DENSO  
 CORPORATION, TD SCAN (U.S.A.), INC.,  
 AND SILICONIX IN CASE C 05-01507  
 WHA**

The Honorable William H. Alsup

AND CONSOLIDATED ACTIONS  
 Nos. C 04-00344 WHA and C 05-03617 WHA.

1 On September 30, 2005, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR Local Rule 3-5, plaintiff  
2 Siliconix incorporated and defendants DENSO CORPORATION and TD SCAN (U.S.A.) INC.  
3 (collectively "the Parties") elected private mediation as the ADR process for Case No. C 05-01507  
4 WHA (then MHP).

5 On October 3, 2005 the Honorable Marilyn H. Patel referred the Parties to private mediation.  
6 The Parties subsequently chose to mediate before the Honorable Layn Phillips (retired). The Parties  
7 filed a Stipulation with this Court (the Honorable William H. Alsup) on November 1, 2005 regarding  
8 the private mediation. On November 2, 2005, this Court approved that stipulation by Order,  
9 approving the referral to private mediation and ordering the parties to update this Court regarding  
10 settlement five days following the mediation.

11 On December 15, 2005 at the Initial Status Conference before this Court, the Parties again  
12 informed this Court that they had elected private mediation and were scheduled to mediate before  
13 the Honorable Layn Phillips on February 24, 2006.

14 In January and February, 2006, under the direction of Judge Phillips, the Parties prepared  
15 opening mediation briefs and reply mediation briefs in preparation for a February 24, 2006  
16 mediation. During this time, the Parties also met several times privately in Japan and the  
17 United States to discuss potential settlement of their disputes.

18 On February 23, 2006, the Court referred this case to Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Spero for  
19 court administered mediation and settlement discussions.

20 On February 24, 2006, the Parties attended a mediation before Judge Phillips in Orange  
21 County, California. Representatives from all Parties, including those from Japan and the  
22 San Francisco Bay area, traveled to Judge Phillips' Orange County office for the mediation. The  
23 Parties were unable to settle their dispute at the mediation on February 24, 2006.

1           The Parties believe they have satisfied the Court's ADR requirements and wish to continue  
2 settlement discussions privately, as they have done thus far.

3  
4 Dated: March 1, 2006

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

5  
6  
7 By: /s/ Brent Caslin

8 Attorney for Defendants and Counterclaimants  
9 DENSO CORPORATION and TD SCAN  
(U.S.A.), Inc.

10 Dated: March 1, 2006

11 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER &  
12 HEDGES, LLP

13 BY: /s/ David Eiseman

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff SILICONIX  
15 INCORPORATED  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER REGARDING ADR COMPLIANCE**

The Court finds that the Parties, having already met several times privately to discuss potential settlement, and participated in a private mediation pursuant to orders of this Court, have complied with the local ADR requirements. The reference to Magistrate Judge Spero for mediation and settlement discussions is hereby withdrawn.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 2, 2006



---

The Honorable William H. Alsup,  
United States District Judge